#### Annual Report Assessment of Environmental Performance - Woodlawn

Assessment of Environmental Performance - Woodlawn Bioreactor and Crisps Creek Intermodal Facility

November 2018

#### **Quality Information**

Prepared by:

the

Barton

Harneet Puarr Environmental Officer

Reviewed by:

Authorised by:

Ramona Bachu SHEQ Systems and Assurance Manager

Henry Gundry Woodlawn Eco-Precinct Manager

Address:

Veolia Environmental Services Pty Ltd Woodlawn Bioreactor 619 Collector Road, Tarago NSW 2580

Date: Reference: Status: Distribution: 5 November 2018 AR2018 FINAL

Rev No.	Details	Issued to	Date
0	Draft copy	<ul> <li>Woodlawn Bioreactor Site Management</li> <li>NSW SHEQ Team</li> </ul>	29/10/2018
0	Final copy	<ul> <li>NSW Environment Protection Authority;</li> <li>Woodlawn Bioreactor (Site Copy);</li> </ul>	5/11/2018

#### THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Contents

1	Intr	roduction	7
	1.1	Site Overview	7
	1.2	Legislative Requirements	7
Pa	art 1 E	EPL 11436	8
N	'oodla	awn Bioreactor	8
2	Bio	preactor Operations	9
	2.1	Complaints	12
3	Bio	preactor Environmental Monitoring	13
	3.1	Bioreactor Monitoring Points	13
	3.2	Bioreactor Monitoring Results	15
	3.2.	.1 Bioreactor Landfill Gas Monitoring Results	15
	3.2.	.2 Bioreactor Air Quality Monitoring Results	19
	3.2.	.3 Bioreactor Surface Water Monitoring Results	21
	3.2.	.4 Bioreactor Leachate Monitoring Results	26
	3.2.	.5 Bioreactor Groundwater Monitoring Results	28
	3.2.	.6 Bioreactor Piezometers Level Monitoring Results	37
	3.2.	.7 Bioreactor Evaporation Dam Volume Monitoring Results	39
Pa	art 2 E	EPL 11455	41
4	Inte	ermodal Facility Operations	42
	4.1	IMF Licence Conditions	42
5	Env	vironmental Monitoring	44
	5.1	IMF Monitoring Points	44
	5.2	IMF Monitoring Results	44
	5.2.	.1 IMF Surface Water Monitoring Results	44
	5.2.	.2 IMF Air Quality Monitoring Results	46
P	erform	nance	48
R	eferen	nces	51
A	ppend	lices	52
N	ote: A	Il documents appended to this Report are provided electronically.	52
	Apper	ndix 1 Site Location Plan	53
	Apper	ndix 2 EPL Boundary	53
	Apper	ndix 3 Monitoring Locations Plan	53
	Apper	ndix 4 Tabulated Monitoring Results	53
	Apper	ndix 5 Monitoring Trend Graphs	53
	Apper	ndix 6 LTP monthly reports	53

#### ANNUAL REPORT Assessment of Environmental Performance – Woodlawn Bioreactor and Crisps Creek Intermodal Facility

## **List of Tables**

Table 2.1: Bioreactor EPL 11436 Licence Conditions	9
Table 3.1: Bioreactor Licensed Monitoring Points	.13
Table 3.2.1: Bioreactor Landfill Gas Monitoring Results	.15
Table 3.2.1.1: Subsurface Gas Monitoring Result	.15
Table 3.2.1.2: Landfill Gas Extraction Booster Monitoring Results Summary	.15
Table 3.2.1.3: Surface Gas Monitoring Results Summary	.15
Table 3.2.1.4: Landfill Gas Flare Monitoring Results	.16
Table 3.2.2: Bioreactor Air Quality Monitoring Results	.19
Table 3.2.2.1: Dust Monitoring Results	.20
Table 3.2.3: Bioreactor Surface Water Monitoring Results	.21
Table 3.2.4: Bioreactor Leachate Monitoring Results	.26
Table3.2.5: Bioreactor Groundwater Monitoring Results	.29
Table 3.2.6: Bioreactor Piezometers Level Monitoring Results	.37
Table 3.2.7: Bioreactor Evaporation Dam Volume Monitoring Results	.40
Table 4.1: IMF Licence Conditions	.42
Table 5.1: IMF Licensed Monitoring Points	.44
Table 5.2.1: IMF Surface Water Monitoring Results	.44
Table 5.2.2: Dust Monitoring Results	.46
Table 6.1: 2016/2017 Reporting Period Recommendations	.48
Table 6.2: 2018/2019 Reporting Period Recommendations	.50

## **List of Figures**

Figure 3.2.1.1 – Landfill Gas Engine Exhaust Point – Nitrogen Oxide Flow (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	17
Figure 3.2.1.2 – Landfill Gas Engine Exhaust Point – Hydrogen Sulphide (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	18
Figure 3.2.1.3 – Landfill Gas Engine Exhaust Point – Sulphuric Acid Mist and Sulphur Trioxide (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	18
Figure 3.2.2.1 – Bioreactor Depositional Dust Levels (g/m <sup>2</sup> /month)	21
Figure 3.2.4.1 – Leachate Trends – Leachate Dam	27
Figure 3.2.4.2 – Leachate Trends – Leachate Recirculation System	28
Figure 3.2.5A – Groundwater Levels – MB1 to MB10 and ED3B	35
Figure 3.2.5B – Groundwater Levels – WM1 to WM6	36
Figure 3.2.5C – Groundwater Levels – MW8S to MW9S	36
Figure 3.2.6.1 – Piezometer Standing Water Levels – P38 to P200	38
Figure 3.2.7.1 - Evaporation Dam 3 Volume (Megalitres)	39
Figure 5.2.2.1 – IMF Depositional Dust Levels – DG18	47

#### 1.1 Site Overview

The Woodlawn Eco Project Site (the Eco Project Site), owned and operated by Veolia Australia and New Zealand (Veolia), is located approximately 250 kilometres (km) south west of Sydney in the NSW Southern Tablelands. A site location plan is provided in **Appendix 1**. The Eco Project Site, which covers an area of 6000 hectares, comprises of the 'Pylara' and 'Woodlawn' agricultural properties. An integral part of the Woodlawn property is the Woodlawn Bioreactor (the Bioreactor), where waste landfilling and landfill gas extraction occurs in the void of a remnant open cut mine, approximately 33 million cubic metres (m<sup>3</sup>) in capacity.

The Bioreactor has been operating since September 2004, with the collection of landfill gas from landfilled waste to extract methane for energy generation commencing in 2008. This occurs at the adjacent Woodlawn Bio Energy Power Station (the Power Station). Waste to the Bioreactor from Sydney is transported in shipping containers via rail and unloaded onto road trucks at the Crisps Creek Intermodal Facility (IMF), also owned and operated by Veolia and located approximately 8 km away in the township of Tarago. Local waste from neighboring councils and businesses is transported via road.

In accordance with relevant legislative requirements and industry best practice, the environmental performance of the Bioreactor and the IMF is managed to stringent conditions, the reporting of which forms the basis of this Annual Report (the Report). This Report has been prepared in accordance with Environment Protection Licence (EPL) 11436, as well as documenting performance against EPL 11455, issued and regulated by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) for the Bioreactor and the IMF respectively.

The Report is submitted to the EPA in conjunction with the Annual Returns which comprise statements of compliance and summaries of monitoring and compliance for both sites, as required under Condition R1 of the EPLs for the reporting period of 6 September 2017 to 5 September 2018.

#### 1.2 Legislative Requirements

The main legislative instrument governing the environmental performance and activities undertaken at the Bioreactor and the IMF pertaining to this Report is the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (POEO Act) regulated by the EPA, as well as its associated regulations.

The EPL for each site has been issued under s55 of the POEO Act. Conditions of the EPLs stipulate the environmental and operational parameters that need to be addressed by Veolia in the management strategies adopted for both the sites to maintain compliance where relevant. This Report is split into a section for each site and contains these management strategies.

#### Part 1 EPL 11436 Woodlawn Bioreactor

In accordance with EPL 11436, the Bioreactor is permitted to accept material classified as General Solid Waste (Putrescible) as described in the Waste Classification Guidelines (EPA, 2014) for the scheduled activity 'Waste disposal by application to land'. The other activity permitted on the EPL is 'Electricity generating works' for the generation of energy from the extraction of landfill gas.

In addition to the waste management and energy generation activities, the site EPL mandates the administrative, operative and reporting conditions for the Bioreactor, as described in **Table 2.1** below. A licensed boundary plan is provided in **Appendix 2**.

#### Table 2.1: Bioreactor EPL 11436 Licence Conditions

Со	ndition	Compliance with Condition
1.	Administrative conditions	Noted
2.	Discharges to air and water and application to land	Noted
3.	Limit conditions	<b>L1. Pollution of Waters</b> The Bioreactor is deemed a zero discharge site, as all surface and storm water that comes into contact with waste or leachate is captured, stored and treated onsite. Non contaminated water is managed through diversion drains and bunds. No water was discharged during this reporting period.
		L3. Waste All waste received at the Bioreactor during this reporting period was in accordance with the waste types permitted in the EPL. Waste generated onsite was deposited in the Bioreactor.
		L4. Noise Limits No noise complaints were received during this reporting period indicating that noise at the Bioreactor was likely maintained within the 35 dB(A) LAeq (15 minute) criteria at the nearest residential receiver. Noise monitoring will be undertaken by Veolia on the receipt of any such complaints.
		<b>L5. Hours of Operation</b> All operational activities at the Bioreactor, including haulage of waste from the IMF were undertaken between 6:00 am and 10:00 pm, Monday to Saturday during this reporting period as permitted.
		<ul><li>L6. Potentially Offensive Odour</li><li>41 odour complaints were received at the premises during this reporting period.</li></ul>
		An annual independent odour audit is used to assess the effectiveness of odour control measures and to identify improvements to existing odour management practices at the site. The odour audit report indicated Veolia has implemented all recommendations from the previous odour audit and is further discussed in Section 6 of this Report. Veolia will continue to implement recommended actions from the odour audit in combination with improving current odour control measures identified by Veolia.
4.	Operating conditions	<b>O1.</b> Activities Carried out in Competent Manner All licensed activities undertaken at the Bioreactor in this reporting period were carried out in a competent manner and under a high standard of environmental management for which Veolia is certified under ISO 14001.
		<b>O2. Maintenance of Plant and Equipment</b> The maintenance and operation of all plant and equipment on the premises associated with the licensed activities was undertaken in a proper and

-				-		-		
1 -	0	n		П	٠	п	0	r
	U		u		L		U	

#### Compliance with Condition

efficient condition as required by qualified technicians. Details of all major plant and equipment at the site are stored in a computerised maintenance management system in order to schedule and complete the required maintenance. All Veolia operators hold the appropriate qualifications and licenses to operate plant and equipment used as part of Bioreactor operations.

#### O3. Dust

All operations and activities were carried out at the Bioreactor in a manner to minimise dust at the boundary of the premises. These included all access roads from the IMF to the Bioreactor and the haul road used for ancillary operations being sealed, the use of water trucks for dust suppression as required and monthly sampling to monitor for the presence and quantity of depositional dust.

#### O4. Emergency Response

The Emergency Response Plan (ERP) for the Bioreactor is maintained on the premises and electronically on Veolia's Business Management System, an online platform for storing Veolia policies procedures and plans. The ERP contains procedures for minimising the risk of and managing incidents such as fires, spills, explosions etc. at the Bioreactor, as well as incorporates the Pollution Incidence Response Management Plan (PIRMP) in accordance with the EPL. As per the PIRMP requirements, the ERP is tested annually.

#### The PIRMP was not activated in this reporting period.

#### **O5. Processes and Management**

The processes and management of water quality is documented and implemented on site in accordance with the EPL and the Landfill Environmental Management Plan (LEMP) for the Bioreactor. The LEMP provides guidance on the management of surface and stormwater systems such as drainage and pumping networks to divert clean water from any water that has come in contact with waste or leachate.

Clean surface and stormwater collected from within the void is pumped to Evaporation Dam 3 South (ED3S) for evaporation.

Water contaminated by waste or leachate is collected and treated in the Leachate Treatment System before being transferred to Evaporation Dam 3 North (ED3N) for evaporation. Mechanical evaporators may be used to assist evaporation and are controlled by wind direction sensors to prevent the drifting of sprayed liquids from the premises.

The wash bay, used for cleaning of containers and equipment associated with Bioreactor operations, collects sediment in a drainage sump. This sump is periodically drained and the resultant waste deposited in the Bioreactor.

Veolia notes that the supervisory licence requirements (condition O5.7) has been revoked by the NSW Government and is no longer relevant to the Woodlawn Operation from the  $1^{st}$  June 2017

#### O6. Waste Management

All licensed activities associated with this condition were carried out in accordance during this reporting period.

A closure plan shall be submitted prior to the closure date of the Bioreactor.

Condition	Compliance with Condition
Condition	Manitaring undertaken within the Disrepator yoid and around the liseneed
	boundary during this reporting period validated that groundwater flows from an inward gradient towards the void ensuring no outward movement of leachate occurs.
	Since April 2016, Veolia has comprehensively re-designed the landfill tipping profile and its gas collection infrastructure to maximise gas collection and minimise the impacts of higher leachate levels in the void. We have already invested in a completely new collection infrastructure across the void. Veolia has also continued to extract and treat leachate from the void at an average of 2.3 L/sec using the current system. These actions have resulted in improvements in gas collection achieving an average of 2805 m <sup>3</sup> /h of landfill gas flow this reporting period.
	Run Energy Pty Ltd maintained expert consultative and operational advice on system improvements to facilitate improved performance of the gas capture network throughout the 2017/18 reporting period.
	The leachate recirculation, collection and treatment system was continued to be maintained and operated to optimise the Bioreactor conditions for treatment of leachate, other waste waters and contaminated storm waters. Excess leachate was extracted and treated in the Leachate Treatment System and transferred for storage in ED3 lagoons 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 (ED3N-1, ED3N-2, ED3N-3 & ED3N-4, ED3SS). Planning modification for the construction and operations of the long-term leachate management strategy including the Leachate Treatment Plant was approved by DPE on 22 December 2017. The long term management of the ED3N dams will be to remove the stored liquid by 31 December 2022.
	Leachate from waste accepted within the Sydney Metropolitan Area via Veolia's transfer facilities continues to be the only liquid imported into the void during this reporting period and was processed through the leachate treatment system as approved by the EPA.
	Virgin Excavated Natural Material (VENM) is continuously sourced from onsite and offsite locations for use as cover material during the reporting period.
	All waste accepted within the Bioreactor in this reporting period was screened prior to final disposal in accordance with the requirements of the Veolia Control of Non-Conforming Waste Procedure and NSW Resource Recovery Screening & Recording of Waste Procedure to ensure only conforming waste is received.
	Veolia will undertake final capping of the Bioreactor when required and in accordance with the EPL.
	Veolia operate the Bioreactor to maximise the production of landfill gas for generation of renewable energy at the Power Station, where 7 generators have been installed and commissioned, with 2 auxiliary flares as back up treatment of landfill gas emissions captured. The generators and flares satisfy the design, installation and operational requirements within the EPL.
	The landfill gas extraction and utilisation infrastructure in the Bioreactor has

Condition	Compliance with Condition
	been designed to meet the conditions of the landfill including settlement.
	In this reporting period, Veolia continued to construct temporary access roads to minimise waste delivery vehicles coming in contact with and tracking waste to external surfaces. Dedicated site vehicles that only operate within the void and other operational areas were utilised. Any vehicles exiting the facility are required to use the wheel wash facility to prevent the tracking of materials.
	In addition to tracking of materials, a monthly site inspection checklist is used to ensure practical measures are in place at the site to prevent materials leaving the premises.
5. Monitoring and recording conditions	Noted, all compliance monitoring was carried out in this reporting period in accordance with EPL requirements. The results of which are detailed in Section 3. There was 1 non-conformance in this reporting period. Due to insufficient flow with the lack of rainfall this reporting period, Veolia were only able to take 2 out of 4 samples at a few of the creeks on site that had insufficient flow throughout the reporting period. This is reflected in the Annual Return.
6. Reporting conditions	Noted and addressed in this Report and the annual return documents, where relevant. Notifications to the EPA were undertaken in a timely fashion.
7. General conditions	Noted.
8. Pollution Studies and Reduction Programs	<ul> <li>U1. Longterm Leachate Treatment Solution</li> <li>U1.1 and 1.2 The Leachate Treatment Plant was still in the construction phase this reporting period. Details of installation, commissioning and implementation will be provided in the next reporting period.</li> <li>U1.3 Monthly reports have been submitted to the EPA from the start of construction December 2017. (See Appendix 6)</li> <li>U2 Management Plan – Evaporation Dam Seepage</li> <li>U2.1 Earth2Water was engaged to compile the management strategy for the remediation measures for the dams which will be detailed in the next</li> </ul>
9. Special Conditions	Noted.

#### 2.1 Complaints

Veolia operates a telephone complaints line that enables the receipt of complaints from members of the public, as required under the EPL. Other complaints that were received off site during this reporting period were logged by the EPA. Veolia recorded a total of 41 complaints, relating only to odour, during this reporting period. Upon receipt of an odour complaint, Veolia recorded all details into the site complaints register as required under the EPL and Site Management followed up with the complainant to determine the nature (and scale) of the odour.

In order to proactively engage in effective odour management, Veolia participates in regular community liaisons to encourage and gather feedback from the local residents regarding the odour performance at the Bioreactor. These liaisons are facilitated either through the Community Liaison Committee (CLC) to voice their concerns with the Bioreactor site. The meeting is attended by local community representatives, committee members/executives from the Tarago & District Progress Association Inc. (TADPAI) and local councilors from Goulburn Mulwaree Council Veolia continues to implement activities to eliminate and minimise odour sources at the site based on annual odour audit recommendations.

#### 3.1 Bioreactor Monitoring Points

Veolia is required to monitor environmental performance of the Bioreactor under EPL 11436. **Table 3.1** details the EPL ID, sampling location, frequency and the type of monitoring undertaken at each licensed point. A monitoring location plan is included in **Appendix 3**.

EPA ID	Sampling Location	Frequency	Type of Monitoring
1	GMBH1	Quarterly	Subsurface Gas
2	GMBH2		
4	GMBH4		
5	Gas Extraction Booster	Annual	Landfill Gas Input
6	Landfill Surface	Quarterly	Surface Gas
7	Landfill Gas Flare	Annual / Continuous	Air Discharge
8	Landfill Gas Engine Exhaust Point – Gen 2	Annual	Air Discharge
9	Meteorological Station	Continuous	Meteorological
10	DG28 – Pylara	Monthly	Particulates – Deposited
11	DG22		Matter
12	DG34		
13	Site 115 – Allianoyonyige Creek	Quarterly	Surface Water
14	Spring 2 – Crisps Creek		
15	Site 105 – Crisps Creek		
16	WM200 – RWD		
17	WM201		
18	ED3SS (Lagoon 5)		
19	WM203 – ED3N		
22	Pond 3*		
23	Leachate Pond	Annual	Leachate
24	Leachate Recirculation System		
25	MB1	Quarterly / Annual	Groundwater
26	MB2		
27	MB3		
28	MB4		
30	MB6		
31	MB7		
33	MB10		
41	ED3B		
42	WM1		
45	WM5		
46	WM6		
48	P38A & P38B	Quarterly	Standing Water Level
49	P44A & P44B*		
50	P45A & P45B*		
49	P200A		

#### Table 3.1: Bioreactor Licensed Monitoring Points

EPA ID	Sampling Location	Frequency	Type of Monitoring
50	P200B		
51	P58A & P58B		
52	P59A & P59B		
53	P100A & P100B		
54	WM202 - ED3S	Quarterly	Storage Volume
55	MW8S	Quarterly / Annual	Groundwater
56	MW8D		
57	MW9S		
58	MW10S (Dry well)		
59	ED1	Quarterly	Surface Water

\*Monitoring point 22 decommissioned at the end of the reporting period 2017-18. \*Monitoring point 49 and 50 decommissioned at the end of Quarter 1 in the reporting period 2017-18 and replaced by two bores (recorded quarter 2, 3 and 4)

All monitoring data collected at the monitoring points identified in **Table 3.1** during this reporting period has been tabulated and provided in **Section 3.2** or in **Appendix 4**. Graphs of data collected have been developed to assist in the assessment of trends and depict any variability within the monitoring results are presented in **Section 3.2** or in **Appendix 5**.

#### 3.2 Bioreactor Monitoring Results

#### 3.2.1 Bioreactor Landfill Gas Monitoring Results

#### Table 3.2.1: Bioreactor Landfill Gas Monitoring Results

Parameter	Results/Disc	ussion				
Subsurface Gas	Monitoring of 3 subsurface gas monitoring bores (GMB) was undertaken of				ken on	
	a quarterly basis as per EPL requirements and is summarised in Tabl				Table	
	<b>3.2.1.1</b> below:					
				- · · ·		
	Table	3.2.1.1: S	ubsurface	e Gas Mol	nitoring Resu	lt
	Gas	Purged Me	thane Read	ling (%)		
	Monitoring	00/44/0047		0.007/004	0 40/00/0040	
	Bore ID	29/11/2017	23/03/201	18 9/07/201	8 16/08/2018	
	GMBHI	0	0	0	0	
	GMBH2	0	0	0	0	
	GMBH4	0	0	0	0	
	The regulte o	how that the	an collection	notwork in a		
	controlling la	now marine g	hin the land	dfill void Fr	aineered impern	ng anu ngahlo
	barriers and	the natural s	ubsurface c	of the void w	all also minimise	es the
	potential mo	vement of la	andfill gas	from the B	ioreactor, allowir	na for
	maximum ext	raction throug	h the gas co	llection syste	m.	.g .o.
		5	<u>j</u>	<b>,</b>		
Landfill Gas Extraction Booster	The data repo	orted for the la	ndfill gas ex	traction boos	ter at the Power S	Station
	is consistent	to the historic	al average :	since 2016 a	s summarised in	Table
	3.2.1.2 below	:				
	lable	∋ 3.2.1.2:	Landfill G	as Extrac	ction Booster	
		Monito	ring Res	ults Sumn	nary	
	Par	ameter		Historical	2017/2018	
	_			Average	Result	
	Ten	nperature (° C	)	2.7	5	
	Vol	umotric Flow	/ 6/ \			
	VOI		(m3/hr)	2157	3400	
	Met	hane (%)	(m3/hr)	2157 53.4	3400 51	
	Met	hane (%)	(m3/hr)	2157 53.4	3400 51	
	The detailed	hane (%)	(m3/hr)	2157 53.4 leters require	3400 51	for the
	The detailed gas extraction	data for each booster is pr	(m3/hr) of the param ovided in <b>Ta</b>	2157 53.4 eters require <b>ble 1</b> (refer <b>A</b>	3400 51 ad under the EPL to appendix 4).	for the
Surface Cae	The detailed gas extraction	data for each booster is pr	(m3/hr) of the param ovided in <b>Ta</b>	2157 53.4 eters require <b>ble 1</b> (refer <b>A</b>	3400 51 od under the EPL s appendix 4).	for the
Surface Gas	The detailed gas extraction	data for each n booster is pr monitoring wa	of the paramovided in <b>Ta</b> as complete	2157 53.4 ble1 (refer A d on a quar	3400 51 od under the EPL s appendix 4). terly basis as pe	for the
Surface Gas	The detailed gas extraction Surface gas requirements tabulated dat	hane (%) data for each n booster is pr monitoring wa , which are su	(m3/hr) of the param ovided in Ta as complete immarised in Table 2 (m	2157 53.4 bleters require ble 1 (refer A d on a quar n <b>Table 3.2</b> .	3400 51 ad under the EPL s appendix 4). terly basis as pe 1.3 below. The do	for the r EPL etailed
Surface Gas	The detailed gas extraction Surface gas requirements tabulated dat	hane (%) data for each booster is pr monitoring wa , which are su a is available i	(m3/hr) of the param ovided in Ta as complete immarised in n Table 2 (re	2157 53.4 ble 1 (refer A d on a quar n <b>Table 3.2.</b> efer Appendix	3400 51 ad under the EPL f appendix 4). terly basis as pe 1.3 below. The de ( 4).	for the r EPL etailed
Surface Gas	The detailed gas extraction Surface gas requirements tabulated dat	hane (%) data for each n booster is pr monitoring wa , which are su a is available i	(m3/hr) of the param ovided in Ta as complete immarised in n Table 2 (re	2157 53.4 ble 1 (refer A d on a quar n <b>Table 3.2.</b> efer Appendix	3400 51 od under the EPL f appendix 4). terly basis as pe 1.3 below. The de ( 4).	for the r EPL etailed
Surface Gas	The detailed gas extraction Surface gas requirements tabulated dat	thane (%) data for each booster is pr monitoring wa , which are su a is available i	(m3/hr) of the param ovided in Ta as complete immarised in n Table 2 (re Surface (	2157 53.4 ble 1 (refer A d on a quar n Table 3.2. efer Appendix	3400 51 ed under the EPL f appendix 4). terly basis as pe 1.3 below. The do ( 4).	for the r EPL etailed
Surface Gas	The detailed gas extraction Surface gas requirements tabulated dat	hane (%) data for each booster is pr monitoring wa , which are su a is available i	of the param ovided in <b>Ta</b> as complete immarised in <b>Table 2</b> (re Surface ( Summ	2157 53.4 ble 1 (refer A d on a quar n Table 3.2. efer Appendix Gas Monite	3400 51 ad under the EPL s appendix 4). terly basis as pe 1.3 below. The do ( 4). oring Results	for the r EPL etailed
Surface Gas	The detailed gas extraction Surface gas requirements tabulated dat Table	thane (%) data for each booster is pr monitoring wa , which are su a is available i e 3.2.1.3:	(m3/hr) of the param ovided in Ta as complete immarised in n Table 2 (re Surface C Summ	2157 53.4 ble 1 (refer A d on a quar n Table 3.2. efer Appendix Gas Monito Dary	3400 51 ad under the EPL f appendix 4). terly basis as pe 1.3 below. The de ( 4). oring Results	for the r EPL etailed
Surface Gas	The detailed gas extraction Surface gas requirements tabulated dat Table Parame Methan	ter Mi	(m3/hr) of the param ovided in Ta as complete immarised in n Table 2 (re Surface ( Summ nimum	2157 53.4 ble 1 (refer A d on a quar n Table 3.2. efer Appendix Gas Monite hary Maximum	3400 51 od under the EPL f appendix 4). terly basis as per 1.3 below. The do ( 4). oring Results Average	for the r EPL etailed
Surface Gas	The detailed gas extraction Surface gas requirements tabulated dat Table Parame Metham	ter Mi e (%)	(m3/hr) of the param ovided in Ta as complete immarised in n Table 2 (re Surface ( Summ nimum	2157 53.4 ble 1 (refer A d on a quar n Table 3.2. efer Appendix Gas Monite nary Maximum 0.08	3400 51 ed under the EPL f appendix 4). terly basis as per 1.3 below. The de ( 4). oring Results Average 0.009	for the r EPL etailed

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	Methane was detected in varying amounts over the waste surface with a decreasing overall average of 0.009% during this reporting period compared to 0.010% last reporting period.
	The emission threshold concentration for methane detected in surface gas emission testing is 500 parts per million (0.05%), as recommended in (Environmental Guidelines for Solid Waste Landfills, Second Edition 2016).
	Surface gas monitoring enables site operational personnel to investigate and apply corrective actions where any high concentrations of methane has been detected to maintain the effectiveness of the landfill cap and prevent migration of landfill gas through preferential pathways to the surface.
	This can include application of cover material in areas of the void demonstrating settlement cracking, commissioning and rebalancing of gas extraction wells and installing additional gas collection infrastructure. During this reporting period vegetation mulch bio-cover was implemented around wells which have assisted in mitigating odour and reducing surface gas emissions.
Landfill Gas Flare	The landfill gas flares are manufactured to a residence time of 0.3 seconds with a destruction efficiency of 98% for methane and non methanogenic organic compounds to meet the requirements of the EPL. Monitoring was continuously performed during this reporting period, an average of which is summarised in <b>Table 3.2.1.4</b> below.
	Table 3.2.1.4: Landfill Gas Flare Monitoring Results
	Parameter Units Result
	Temperature°C1057
	Residence TimeSeconds< 0.3
Landfill Gas Engine Exhaust Point(s)	Monitoring of a landfill gas engine exhaust point was completed during the reporting period. The results are consistent with the previous monitoring period and presented in <b>Tables 3.1</b> to <b>3.5</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ).
	Concentration limits for each of the following pollutants are stipulated in the EPL, all of which were below the threshold for the exhaust point test within this reporting period and consistent with previously reported levels, as demonstrated in <b>Figures 3.2.1.1 – 3.2.1.3</b> . <ul> <li>Nitrogen Oxides;</li> <li>Hydrogen Sulphide;</li> <li>Sulphuric Acid Mist; and</li> <li>Sulphur Trioxide.</li> </ul> <li>*Note: Only required to sample one engine exhaust due to sample type of engine.</li>



Figure 3.2.1.1 – Landfill Gas Engine Exhaust Point – Nitrogen Oxide Flow (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)



Figure 3.2.1.2 – Landfill Gas Engine Exhaust Point – Hydrogen Sulphide (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)



Figure 3.2.1.3 – Landfill Gas Engine Exhaust Point – Sulphuric Acid Mist and Sulphur Trioxide (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

#### 3.2.2 Bioreactor Air Quality Monitoring Results

#### Table 3.2.2: Bioreactor Air Quality Monitoring Results

Parameter	Results/Discussion
Meteorological Station	<ul> <li>Veolia operates an onsite meteorological station to continuously monitor climatic data listed in the EPL. Meteorological data recorded includes (but is not limited to): <ul> <li>Wind speed at 10m;</li> <li>Wind direction at 10m;</li> <li>Temperature at 2m;</li> <li>Temperature at 10m;</li> <li>Rainfall;</li> <li>Solar radiation; and</li> <li>Sigma theta at 10m</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meteorological data is logged in 15 minute and 24 hour intervals and can be made available for the 2017/2018 reporting period upon request. Servicing and calibration of the meteorological station is carried out quarterly by Hydrometric Consulting Services (calibration reports can be provided upon request).</li> </ul>

Parameter Particulates/Dust Monitoring	Results/Dis Monitoring of basis as re consistent w	scussion of 3 depositional equired under vith previously re	l dust gauge the EPL, th eporting perio	s (DG) was o ne results c ods as depict	completed o of which an ed in <b>Figure</b>	n a monthly e generally <b>3.2.2.1</b> .
	The results samples ar <b>3.2.2.1</b> belo <b>Appendix 4</b>	of total insolute summarised ow, with the deta b).	uble solids for each of ailed results	found within the monitor tabulated in	the depos ing location <b>Tables 4.1</b>	itional dust is in <b>Table</b> - <b>4.3</b> (refer
		Table 3.2.2.	1: Dust l	Monitoring	g Results	;
		Dust Gauge	Summary (g/m2/mon	Total insolu hth)	ble Solids	
			Minimum	Maximum	Average	
		DG22	0.7	8.6	3.66	
		DG34	0.2	4.7	1.75	
		DG28	0.4	6.6	1.90	
	The maxim g/m2/month Bioreactor. levels record void, it can Bioreactor a result is du DG22. Overall dus measure of	num dust level a at DG22 in Ap Given that for ded at the dust be inferred that activities and ca the to Heron Re t suppression is the dust control	ril 2018 which ril 2018 which the correspond gauges locat it this dust end the treated esources' controls generally controls measures the	in this repo ch is located anding month eed within the emission was as an outlie nstruction ac onsistent with at the site ha	orting period on the East there were proximity of not as a r er. Veolia in ctivities direct h previous y as in place.	d was 8.6 side of the on similar f the landfill esult of the fer that this ctly next to years and a



Figure 3.2.2.1 – Bioreactor Depositional Dust Levels (g/m<sup>2</sup>/month)

#### 3.2.3 Bioreactor Surface Water Monitoring Results

The findings from water quality monitoring of surface water locations required under the EPL is summarised in **Table 3.2.3** below with detailed data provided in **Tables 5.1 - 5.10** (refer **Appendix 4**). Key quality indicators selected to identify likely impacts from the Bioreactor include:

- pH,
- Electrical conductivity (EC),
- Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>),
- Total organic carbon (TOC),
- Iron (Fe),
- Sulphate (SO<sub>4</sub>), and
- Zinc (Zn).

These are depicted in the trend graphs (Figures 3.2.3.1 - 3.2.3.10) provided in Appendix 5.

#### Table 3.2.3: Bioreactor Surface Water Monitoring Results

Parameter	Results/Discussion
Site 115 – Allianoyonyiga	Site 115 is situated downstream of the evaporation dams. 1 out of 4
Creek	quarterly monitoring events required under the EPL was undertaken in this
	monitoring period, due to insufficient flow, and have been documented in

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	the Annual Return.
	Based on the results provided in <b>Table 5.1</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ), the pollutant concentration trends from previous monitoring periods are generally consistent.
	<ul> <li>Mean pH at 7.74 for this location indicates slightly alkaline water.</li> <li>EC at 1080 µS/cm, indicating fresh to brackish water.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> at &lt;0.1mg/L and TOC at mean of 19 mg/L concentrations recorded in this monitoring period remain consistent with historical monitoring results</li> <li>Heavy metal concentrations are of low magnitude for this reporting period – less than 0.0012 mg/L for Pb and less than 0.07mg/L for Zn, indicating no contaminated runoff is impacting surface water at this monitoring location.</li> </ul>
Spring 2	Spring 2 is located upstream of the Bioreactor and adjacent to Crisps Creek. The site therefore provides background water quality information to site operations. The spring naturally overflows to Crisps Creek during rainfall events.
	4 out of 4 quarterly monitoring events required under the EPL were undertaken in this monitoring period, and have been documented in the Annual Return. Water quality trend in Spring 2, based on the results provided in <b>Table 5.2</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ), is consistent with water quality from historical monitoring records.
	<ul> <li>pH is consistent with previous years (average 7.2) and reflective of the overall range of 3.5 – 8.5 for this location;</li> <li>EC (average 522 µS/cm) for this reporting period is indicative of fresh water.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 171 mg/L) shows an identical trend to conductivity, again indicating a direct effect on EC.</li> <li>Pb (average 0.01mg/L) and Zn (average 4.50mg/L) concentrations continue to show slow decline from overall averages with some variability likely due to dilution following wet weather periods and concentration during drier periods.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (average 0.25mg/L) and TOC (average 17mg/L) concentrations</li> </ul>
	recorded in this monitoring period were consistent with historical monitoring results.
Site 105 – Crisps Creek	Site 105 is located downstream of the Bioreactor and tailings dams. 2 out of 4 quarterly monitoring events required under the EPL were undertaken in this monitoring period, due to insufficient flow, and have been documented in the Annual Return.
	<ul> <li>Water quality trends in Site 105, based on the results provided in Table 5.3 (refer Appendix 4) are consistent with previous monitoring results.</li> <li>pH (average 7.6) is within the overall range of 5.4 – 8.6 for this location, indicating relatively neutral water;</li> <li>EC (average 1665 µS/cm) is consistent with historical results, reflecting brackish water.</li> </ul>

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	• TOC (average 20 mg/L) and NH <sub>3</sub> (average 0.1 mg/L) were consistent with historical trends.
	<ul> <li>Zn and Pb remain consistent and average 0.33 mg/L and 0.01 mg/L respectively consistent with historical results.</li> </ul>
WM200 Raw Water Dam	The Raw Water Dam is located to the west of the dolerite stockpile and collects uncontaminated water. Quarterly monitoring events were undertaken in accordance with EPL conditions.
	<ul> <li>Based on the results provided in Table 5.4 (refer Appendix 4), the results for WM200 remain generally consistent with the previous reporting periods.</li> <li>pH (average 8.15) indicates slightly alkaline water;</li> <li>EC (average 1655 µS/cm) is consistent with historical results;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> level (average 202 mg/L) is lower than previous reporting period;</li> <li>Zn and Fe levels were lower at averages of 3.1 mg/L and 0.13mg/L respectively than previous reporting period;</li> <li>TOC was an average of 7 mg/L in this reporting period which is consistent with historical results. This could be reflective of the presence of organic matter from riparian zone vegetation surrounding the dam.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> at an average of 0.45 mg/L is at quite low levels at this location.</li> </ul>
WM201 – Entrance Road Culvert	<ul> <li>The Entrance Road Culvert collects surface water runoff from the Woodlawn Bioreactor administration office and workshop areas. 4 out of 4 quarterly monitoring events required under the EPL were undertaken in this monitoring period, due to insufficient flow, and have been documented in the Annual Return, the results of which are provided in Table 5.5 (refer Appendix 4).</li> <li>pH is consistent (average 7.32) with previous reporting periods and remains within the overall range of 4.5 – 8.2 for this location;</li> <li>EC at 387 µS/cm is reflective of fresh water and is consistent with previous reporting periods. EC variability can be caused by dilution during rainfall events.</li> <li>Pb, Zn and Fe average 2.52 mg/L, 9.2 mg/L and 49.8 mg/L respectively, results in quarter 4 were high and treated as an anomaly as a subsequent sample was tested and resulted with usual readings.</li> <li>Veolia will continue to monitoring this location in the next reporting period for any likely contaminant run off impacts.</li> </ul>
ED3SS – Lagoon 5	<ul> <li>Evaporation Dam 3 South-South (ED3SS) is a new storage point to manage treated leachate by evaporation. Quarterly monitoring events were undertaken in accordance with the EPL.</li> <li>Based on the water quality results provided in Table 5.6 (refer Appendix 4), for ED3SS, the following can be confirmed: <ul> <li>pH (average 8.4) appears to be fairly consistent with the existing treated leachate quality</li> <li>EC average 24200 µS/cm appears to be generally consistent with the existing treated leachate quality</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	<ul> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> averages (675.75 mg/L) is lower than previous monitoring periods</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Fe levels (average 29.92mg/L) Zn levels (average 8.5mg/L) are lower than previous monitoring periods</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations (average 962.5 mg/L) remained stable over the course of the reporting period</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>TOC (average 2420 mg/L) appears to be fairly consistent with the existing treated leachate guality</li> </ul>
WM203 – Evaporation Dam 3 North	Evaporation Dam 3 North (ED3N) is a storage point to manage treated leachate by evaporation. Quarterly monitoring events were undertaken in accordance with the EPL.
	<ul> <li>Based on the water quality results provided in Table 5.7 (refer Appendix 4), for WM203, the following can be confirmed:</li> <li>pH (average 8.23) appears to be generally consistent with previous reporting periods.</li> <li>EC average (31275 μS/cm ) appears to be fairly consistent with previous reporting periods;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> averages (5698.5 mg/L) is lower than previous monitoring periods</li> <li>Fe levels (average 39 mg/L) are slightly higher than previous years whilst Zn levels (average 149.82) reflect a downward trend.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations (average 747 mg/L) remained stable over the course of the reporting period (592 – 885 mg/L).</li> <li>TOC is trending upward (average 1820 mg/L) from the previous reporting period.</li> </ul>
Pond 3	Pond 3 is situated on a bench within the landfill void at a relative level (RL) of 740 m above sea level. Pond 3 acts as a transfer point to capture stormwater from the walls of the landfill void to Evaporation Dam 3 South.
	3 out of 4 quarterly monitoring events required under the EPL were undertaken in this monitoring period, due to insufficient flow, and have been documented in the Annual Return, the results of which are tabulated in <b>Table 5.8</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ). Pond 3 was decommissioned at the end of the reporting period. These water quality results consistent results with previous reporting periods.
	<ul> <li>pH average of 3.8 confirms acidic nature of water that comes in contact with the void walls and is generally consistent with previous results</li> <li>EC (average 2765.7 vS/am) is generally consistent with previous</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>EC (average 2765.7 μS/cm) is generally consistent with previous results;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> trends (average 1832 mg/L) is generally consistent with previous results</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Pb average of 7.7 mg/L is generally consistent with previous results</li> <li>Zn (average 206 mg/L) is generally consistent with previous results;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (average 37 mg/L) and TOC (average 45 mg/L) both mirror a similar trend which appears quite variable over historical monitoring results.</li> </ul>
	These results and trends are deemed representative of the stormwater quality captured from the walls of the void.

Parameter	Results/Discussion
WM202 – ED3S	Evaporation Dam 3 South is a storage point to manage stormwater from the void by evaporation. Quarterly monitoring events were undertaken in accordance with EPL conditions.
	Water quality results indicated a similar trend to previously reported data as seen <b>in Table 5.9</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ).
	<ul> <li>pH levels indicate an acidic, yet stable trending result with the average pH of 3.17 appears to be generally consistent with previous reporting periods;</li> <li>Fe (average 61.65 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting periods;</li> <li>Zn at an average of 788.75 mg/L is consistent with previous reporting periods;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 8870 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting periods</li> <li>EC (average 10082.5 µS/cm) remains within the overall average. Both SO<sub>4</sub> and EC concentrations reflect the signature for Acid Mine Drainage (AMD) contaminated waters from remnant mining operations stored in Evaporation Dam 3 South.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations (average 138 mg/L) which is consistent with previous reporting periods.</li> </ul>
ED1 – Evaporation Dam 1	Evaporation Dam 1 (ED1) is a storage point to manage runoff stormwater from its external catchment including dolerite stockpile area. Quarterly monitoring events were undertaken in accordance with the EPL.
	<ul> <li>Based on the water quality results provided in Table 5.10 (refer Appendix 4), for ED1, the following can be confirmed:</li> <li>pH (average 3.1) which is consistent with previous reporting periods</li> <li>EC average 20125 µS/cm which is consistent with previous reporting periods</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (averages 21825 mg/L) and Fe levels (average 99 mg/L) is greater than previous reporting period</li> <li>Zn levels (average 2967.5 mg/L) is greater than previous reporting period</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations (average 15.5 mg/L) remained stable over the course of the reporting period.</li> <li>TOC averages 6 mg/L remains consistent with previous reporting periods</li> </ul>
	Fe and Zn levels were noted significantly higher in quarter 4 this reporting period as Heron Resources were pumping into ED1 from the tailings dam at that time as part of the overall management of water across the site. Water will be progressively evaporated.

#### 3.2.4 Bioreactor Leachate Monitoring Results

Leachate quality monitoring is undertaken annually at 2 monitoring locations in the Bioreactor as required by the EPL. The findings from this reporting period are summarised in **Table 3.2.4** below with the detailed data provided in **Tables 6.1** and **6.2** (refer **Appendix 4**). The key quality indicators selected to characterize the leachate and identify any migration into groundwater or surface water monitoring locations include:

- pH,
- Electrical Conductivity (EC),
- Sulphate (SO<sub>4</sub>),
- Lead (Pb),
- Zinc (Zn),
- Ammonia (NH<sub>3)</sub>, and
- Total Organic Carbon (TOC).

These are depicted in the subsequent trend graphs Figures 3.2.4.1 and 3.2.4.2.

#### Table 3.2.4: Bioreactor Leachate Monitoring Results

Parameter	Results/Discussion
Leachate Dam	The leachate dam is located at the northwest rim of the landfill void where leachate collected and extracted from the void is treated by aeration to oxidise organic compounds. An annual monitoring round was completed during this reporting period as per the requirements of the EPL.
	Based on the results provided in <b>Table 6.1</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ), the characteristics of the leachate are:
	<ul> <li>pH (8.26) is indicative of a slightly decreasing alkaline state from the previous reporting period result of 8.74</li> <li>EC (23,500 µS/cm) is consistent with the previous reporting period;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub>, one of the dominant anions, (354 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting readings;</li> <li>Pb (0.175 mg/L) and Zn (3.45 mg/L) ) is consistent with the previous reporting period</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (284 mg/L) is lower compared to previous reporting readings;</li> <li>TOC (2790 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting</li> </ul>
Leachate Recirculation System	The leachate recirculation system is located within the landfill void, comprised of a network of drainage sumps, pipes, pumps and wells that are used to collect and extract leachate from the waste mass.
	An annual round was completed during this reporting period in accordance with the EPL, the results of which are detailed in the <b>Table 6.2</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ).
	Based on these results, the leachate collected directly from the recirculation system displays similar characteristics to the leachate pond, with some exceptions as summarised below: • pH (8.2) is generally consistent with previous reporting period:
L	

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	<ul> <li>EC (40,300 µS/cm) is consistent with the previous reporting period and is generally consistent with the overall annual average for this location;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (255 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>Both Pb and Zinc are consistent with previous reporting period, 0.326 mg/L and 2.4 mg/L respectively.</li> <li>TOC (7380 mg/L) is consistent with historical monitoring results.</li> </ul>



Figure 3.2.4.1 – Leachate Trends – Leachate Dam



Figure 3.2.4.2 – Leachate Trends – Leachate Recirculation System

#### 3.2.5 Bioreactor Groundwater Monitoring Results

Groundwater quality monitoring at 15 locations was undertaken in this reporting period as required by the EPL, comprising 1 annual and 3 quarterly rounds of monitoring, the results of which are summarised in **Table 3.2.5** below. Detailed data is provided in Tables **7.1** – **7.14** (refer **Appendix 4**).

The groundwater monitoring well network allows for an assessment of potential impacts from the waste operations at the Bioreactor, evaporation dams and tailing dams. The key quality indicators selected to detect any pollutants in groundwater samples are the same as those deemed characteristic for leachate and are as follows:

- pH
- Electrical Conductivity (EC),
- Sulphate (SO<sub>4</sub>),
- Lead (Pb),
- Zinc (Zn),
- Ammonia (NH<sub>3)</sub>, and
- Total Organic Carbon (TOC).

These are depicted in the trend graphs **Figures 3.2.5.1** to **3.2.5.15** (refer **Appendix 5**). In addition to water quality monitoring, standing water levels (SWL) of the wells are also measured in metres relative to sea level (m RL) and are depicted in the subsequent graphs Figures **3.2.5A**, **3.2.5B** and **3.2.5C**.

#### Table3.2.5: Bioreactor Groundwater Monitoring Results

Parameter	Results/Discussion
MB1	<ul> <li>MB1 is located down gradient of the landfill void. Based on the results provided in Table 7.1 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 777.4 m RL) was slightly lower than previous reporting periods due to insufficient rainfall events;</li> <li>pH (average 7.6) neutral – to slightly alkaline consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 1700 µS/cm) is slightly higher than but generally consistent with previous readings representing fresh water;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 297.5 mg/L) is generally consistent with previous periods;</li> <li>Pb and Zn (average 0.0002 mg/L and 0.076 mg/L respectively) are generally consistent with previous periods.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (average 0.1) is consistent with previous reporting period and historical trends. The concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location is preserved.</li> </ul>
MB2	<ul> <li>MB2 is located upstream of Evaporation Dam 2. Based on the results provided in Table 7.2 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 778.93 m RL) was consistent with long term average since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 7.33) neutral, consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 6835 µS/cm) and SO<sub>4</sub> (average 3947.5 mg/L) is consistent with previous periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) indicates a stable trend consistent with the previous reporting period.</li> <li>Zn (average 0.05 mg/L) is generally consistent with previous reporting periods.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.12 mg/L) same as previous monitoring periods of non detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (4 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting periods.</li> </ul>
MB3	MB3 is located upstream of the Bioreactor and mine site. Based on the results provided in <b>Table 7.3</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:
	since 2004;

<ul> <li>pH (average 7.47) near neutral is consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 1997.5 µS/cm) is consistent with previous reading representing fresh water;</li> <li>SD, (average 0.702 mg/L) is stable and consistent with previou periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.002 mg/L) and Zn (average 0.024 mg/L) are stabl and consistent with previous periods.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (4 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veola will contunu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentration and provide an indication cbackground groundwater concentrations.</li> <li>MB4</li> <li>MB4 is located downstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.4 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location cabe described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SO (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0.004) mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0.004) mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter.</li> </ul> </li> <li>PM6 (average 1002 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SO (average 195.75 mg/L) result is consisten</li></ul>	Parameter	Results/Discussion
<ul> <li>EC (average 1997.5 µS/cm) is consistent with previous reading representing fresh water;</li> <li>SO, (average 3.0.7 mg/L) is stable and consistent with previou periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) and Zn (average 0.024 mg/L) are stabl and consistent with previous periods.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (4 mg/L) consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (4 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentration and provide an indication or background groundwater concentrations.</li> <li>MB4</li> <li>MB4 is located downstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.4 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location cabe described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 773.76 m RL) was consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>pH (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>NN<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location ta spreserved.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>pH (average 7.47) near neutral is consistent with previous reporting period;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 30.7 mg/L) is stable and consistent with previou periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) and Zn (average 0.024 mg/L) are stabl and consistent with previous periods.</li> <li>NH<sub>5</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (4 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veola will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations.</li> <li>MB4</li> <li>MB4 is located downstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.4 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location ca be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 773.76 m RL) was consistent with long term averag since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SO (average 195.75 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0.049 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>NH<sub>5</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veola will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is preserved.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MB6 MB6 MB6 MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the gioractor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4) the groundwater quality at this location cane be described as:</li></ul>		<ul> <li>EC (average 1997.5 μS/cm) is consistent with previous readings representing fresh water;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) and Zn (average 0.024 mg/L) are stabl and consistent with previous periods.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (4 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations.</li> <li>MB4</li> <li>MB4 is located downstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.4 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location ca be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 773.76 m RL) was consistent with long term averag since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SC (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this stable while Zn (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0.0049 mg/L) results for this stable with historica cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> </ul> </li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> </ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to moni</li>		<ul> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 30.7 mg/L) is stable and consistent with previous periods:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (4 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentration and provide an indication or background ground/water concentrations.</li> <li>MB4</li> <li>MB4 is located downstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.4 (refer Appendix 4), the ground/water quality at this location ca be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 773.76 m RL) was consistent with long term averag since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SC (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>PG (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0. mg/L) is seen to fluctuate which appears consistent with historica cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>8</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MB6 MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of th Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4); the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>PH (average 740.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>PH (av</li></ul></li></ul>		<ul> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) and Zn (average 0.024 mg/L) are stable and consistent with previous periods.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>TOC (4 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentration and provide an indication or background groundwater concentrations.</li> <li>MB4</li> <li>MB4 is located downstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.4 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location ca be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 773.76 m RL) was consistent with long term averag since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period;</li> <li>PC (average 10.074 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0.075 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>PO (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0.075 mg/L) is scene to fluctuate which appears consistent with historica cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>9</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veola will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location is preserved.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MB6 MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of th Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:         <ul> <l< th=""><th></th><th><ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of non detection rates;</li> </ul></th></l<></ul></li></ul>		<ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of non detection rates;</li> </ul>
All trends indicate fairly stable concentration and provide an indication of background groundwater concentrations.         MB4       MB4 is located downstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.4 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location ca be described as: <ul> <li>SWL (average 773.76 m RL) was consistent with long term averag since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SC (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0. mg/L) is seen to fluctuate which appears consistent with historica cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of nor detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. The concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> </ul> <li>MB6</li> <li>MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 6457.5 µS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li>		• TOC (4 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. The concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location is preserved.
<ul> <li>MB4</li> <li>MB4 is located downstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.4 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location cabe described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 773.76 m RL) was consistent with long term averag since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SC (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0. mg/L) is scen to fluctuate which appears consistent with historica cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication or contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.</li> <li>MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provide in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4); the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with previous reportinuperiod;</li> </ul>		All trends indicate fairly stable concentration and provide an indication of background groundwater concentrations.
<ul> <li>SWL (average 773.76 m RL) was consistent with long term averag since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SC (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0. mg/L) is seen to fluctuate which appears consistent with historica cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.</li> <li>MB6 MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4) the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 5457.5 µS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	MB4	MB4 is located downstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in <b>Table 7.4</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:
<ul> <li>pH (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reportin period;</li> <li>EC (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SC (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0. mg/L) is seen to fluctuate which appears consistent with historica cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.</li> <li>MB6 MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4) the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 5457.5 µS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>SWL (average 773.76 m RL) was consistent with long term average since 2004;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>EC (average 1605 µS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and i consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SC (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0. mg/L) is seen to fluctuate which appears consistent with historica cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. The concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication or contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.</li> <li>MB6</li> <li>MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 5457.5 µS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>pH (average 5.6) slightly acidic, consistent with previous reporting period;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Pb (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0. mg/L) is seen to fluctuate which appears consistent with historica cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of non detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. The concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.</li> <li>MB6</li> <li>MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4) the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 5457.5 µS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>EC (average 1605 μS/cm) represents fresh water salinity and is consistent with previous period. This trend is reflected in SO<sub>4</sub> (average 195.75 mg/L) results for this period;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of no detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.</li> <li>MB6</li> <li>MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4) the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 5457.5 µS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Pb (average 0.0049 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0.9 mg/L) is seen to fluctuate which appears consistent with historical cyclic trends;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. Th concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continu to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality a this location is preserved.</li> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.</li> <li>MB6 MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4) the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 5457.5 µS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of non detection rates;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.</li> <li>MB6 MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4) the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:         <ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 5457.5 µS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• TOC (2 mg/L) result is consistent with historical results. The concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location is preserved.
<ul> <li>MB6 MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of th Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in Table 7.5 (refer Appendix 4) the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 5457.5 μS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul>		All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.
<ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 5457.5 µS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul>	MB6	MB6 is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3 and upstream of the Bioreactor. Based on the results provided in <b>Table 7.5</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:
<ul> <li>EC (average 5457.5 μS/cm) represents brackish water and the</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>SWL (average 791.02 m RL) was consistent with historical results;</li> <li>pH (average 6.07) slightly acidic consistent with previous reporting period;</li> </ul>
trend is mirrored by SO <sub>4</sub> (average 887 mg/L) consistent with previous periods;		<ul> <li>EC (average 5457.5 μS/cm) represents brackish water and the trend is mirrored by SO<sub>4</sub> (average 887 mg/L) consistent with previous periods;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Pb (average 0.0006 mg/L) and Zn (average 11.7 mg/L) is consister with previous periods;</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Pb (average 0.0006 mg/L) and Zn (average 11.7 mg/L) is consistent with previous periods;</li> </ul>
TOC (4 mg/L) and NH <sub>3</sub> average of 0.6 mg/l is lower than previou		• IOC (4 mg/L) and NH <sub>3</sub> average of 0.6 mg/l is lower than previous

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	reporting period.
	Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location is preserved.
MB7	MB7 is located upstream of Evaporation Dam 3. Based on the results provided in <b>Table 7.6</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:
	<ul> <li>SWL (average 785.93 m RL) was consistent with long term average since 2004;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>pH (average 7.62) neutral is consistent with the previous reporting period;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>EC (average 7467.50 µS/cm) and SO<sub>4</sub> (average 231.75 mg/L) follow a similar stable trend to previous reporting periods ;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) is consistent throughout the reporting period whilst Zn (average 0.18 mg/L) shows a fluctuating trend consistent with historical cycles;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (&lt; 0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of non detection rates;</li> </ul>
	• TOC (15 mg/L) appears consistent with the previous reporting period. The concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location is preserved.
	All trends indicate fairly stable concentration and there is no indication of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.
MB10	MB10 is located adjacent to Evaporation Dam 1. Based on the results provided in <b>Table 7.7</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:
	<ul> <li>SWL (average 780.6 m RL) was consistent with previous monitoring periods;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>pH (average 7.36) neutral is consistent with previous reporting periods;</li> <li>EC (average 7747.5 uS(am) is of breakish quality consistent with</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>EC (average 7747.5 µS/cm) is of brackish quality consistent with previous readings;</li> <li>SO: (average 3717.5 mg/L) mirrors EC and is generally consistent.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 3717.5 mg/L) minors EC and is generally consistent with previous periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) is stable while Zn (average 0.007 mg/L)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) is stable while 2m (average 0.007 mg/L) and is generally consistent with previous reporting periods;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (&lt; 0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods of non detection rates;</li> <li>TOO (4 mg/L) as one case consistent with the previous monitoring periods.</li> </ul>
	• TOC (4 mg/L) appears consistent with the previous reporting period. The concentration is indicative of natural conditions. Veolia will continue to monitoring this parameter in the future to ensure water quality at this location is preserved.
	All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations and there is no indication of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.
ED3B	ED3B is located downstream of Evaporation Dam 3. Based on the results provided in <b>Table 7.8</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ), the groundwater quality at this
	<ul> <li>SWL (average 784.39 mRL) was consistent with previous</li> </ul>
ANNUAL REPORT	31

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	<ul> <li>monitoring periods;</li> <li>pH (average 7.47) is neutral – slightly alkaline and consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 7685 μS/cm) indicating brackish water and SO<sub>4</sub> (average 986.25 mg/L) follow similar trends consistent with previous periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0005 mg/L) remains stable while Zn (average 0.23 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (0.1 mg/L) is at non detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (12 mg/L) is slightly higher but reflective of historical results in previous reporting periods.</li> </ul>
	All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations at this location with no evidence of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.
WM1	<ul> <li>WM1 is located northeast of the landfill void. Based on the results provided in Table 7.9 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 740.24 m RL) is consistent with previous monitoring periods;</li> <li>pH (average 7.69) neutral – to slightly alkaline consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 2902.5 µS/cm) represents slightly brackish water, and is consistent with previous historical records;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 1607.5 mg/L) is similar in trend to EC and demonstrating a long term upward trend;</li> <li>Both Pb (average 0.007 mg/L) and Zn (average 6.22 mg/L) remain consistent with previous reporting periods.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (average 0.1 mg/L) is close to, or within, non-detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (3 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring period reflective of natural conditions;</li> </ul>
	All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations at this location with no evidence of contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities
WM5	<ul> <li>WM5 is located to the west of the void near Evaporation Dam 3 South.</li> <li>Based on the results provided in Table 7.10 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as: <ul> <li>SWL (average 784.09 mRL) is consistent with long term averages;</li> <li>pH (average 7.7) neutral is consistent with the previous period.</li> <li>EC (average 10170 µS/cm) is representative of saline water and consistent with the previous reporting period;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 313 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods.</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) and Zn (average 0.009 mg/L) are both lower than the previous reporting period but can be seen to be fluctuating which appears consistent with historical cyclic trends;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (average 0.1 mg/L) is close to non-detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (14 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring periods reflecting natural conditions;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	in this location during this monitoring period from the data available.

Parameter	Results/Discussion
WM6	<ul> <li>WM6 is located to the west of the void adjacent to Evaporation Dam 3 North. Based on the results provided in Table 7.11 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as: <ul> <li>SWL (average 786.06 m RL) is consistent with the previous reporting period;</li> <li>pH (average 6.39) is slightly acidic, but stable and consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 13,750 µS/cm) represents brackish to slightly saline water, consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 372.25 mg/L) mirrors EC's stable trend;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0042 mg/L) and Zn (average 0.214 mg/L) are both similar to the previous reporting period and generally consistent with historical fluctuations.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (average 0.1 mg/L) is close to, or within, non-detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (4 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring period reflecting natural conditions;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	contamination from mining or Bioreactor activities.
MW8S	<ul> <li>MW8S is located northern side of ED3N. Based on the results provided in Table 7.12 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 785.76 m RL) was consistent with long term average since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 7.44) is neutral and consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 11225 µS/cm) remains stable with previous reporting period results;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 1328 mg/L) continues to show a declining trend but is generally consistent with previous periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0003 mg/L) is stable whilst Zn (average 0.86 mg/L) continues to show a declining trend from historical records.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (average 0.1 mg/L) is close to, or within, non-detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (7 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring period reflecting natural conditions;</li> </ul>
MW8D	<ul> <li>MW8D is located adjacent to MW8S. Based on the results provided in Table</li> <li>7.13 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as:</li> <li>SWL (average 786.35 m RL) was consistent with long term average</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>since 2004;</li> <li>pH (average 6.88) slightly acidic to neutral consistent with previous reporting period.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>EC (average 9580 µS/cm) represents brackish water which is consistent with previous readings;</li> <li>SQ: (average 3650 mg/l) mirrors EC consistent with previous</li> </ul>
L	• 004 (average 3030 mg/L) minors EC consistent with previous

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	<ul> <li>periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) and Zn (average 24.5 mg/L) are both consistent with previous periods;</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (&lt; 0.1 mg/L) is at non detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (5 mg/L) is consistent with previous monitoring period reflecting natural conditions;</li> </ul> All trends indicate fairly stable concentrations with no evidence of contemportion from mining or Pioreagter activities.
MW9S	<ul> <li>MW9S is located on the northwest side of ED3N. Based on the results provided in Table 7.14 (refer Appendix 4), the groundwater quality at this location can be described as: <ul> <li>SWL (average 786.33) was consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>pH (average 7.04) consistent with previous reporting period;</li> <li>EC (average 10,900 µS/cm) remains stable, consistent with previous reporting period for brackish water;</li> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 5092.5 mg/L) is consistent with previous periods;</li> <li>Pb (average 0.0002 mg/L) and Zn (average 0.122 mg/L) were both generally consistent with historical results.</li> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (&lt; 0.1 mg/L) is at non detection rates;</li> <li>TOC (5 mg/L) reflecting natural conditions is consistent with historical results;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	No significant variations or anomalies were recorded for any analyte tested at this location during this monitoring period.
MW10S	MW10S is located on the northeast side of ED3. No sampling of MW10S could be undertaken during the reporting period as this well was continually dry. This has been a consistent observation since the well was commissioned in 2007. No data is available to produce tables or graphs for this monitoring point.



Figure 3.2.5A – Groundwater Levels – MB1 to MB10 and ED3B



Figure 3.2.5B – Groundwater Levels – WM1 to WM6



Figure 3.2.5C – Groundwater Levels – MW8S to MW9S

#### 3.2.6 Bioreactor Piezometers Level Monitoring Results

Measurements for groundwater standing water levels (SWL) in the vicinity of the Bioreactor were undertaken at 6 out of 6 piezometers around the landfill void in accordance with the EPL and have been documented in the Annual Return. Each location consists of a shallow (reference A) and deep (reference B) piezometer.

The findings of the monitoring are summarised in Table 3.2.6 below and detailed quarterly levels are provided in Tables 8.1 - 8.5 (refer **Appendix 4**)

#### Table 3.2.6: Bioreactor Piezometers Level Monitoring Results

Parameter	Results/Discussion
P38A & P38B	<ul> <li>P38 is located east of the void. Standing water levels are presented in Table 8.1 (refer Appendix 4). This monitoring location was deemed unsafe to access due to a rock slip on the Southern side of the Bioreactor void wall in 2010. An application to remove this monitoring point from the licence was submitted to the EPA and rejected during this reporting period. Following this decision, Veolia engaged a geotechnical consultant and earthmoving company to provide safe access. Monitoring re-commenced immediately.</li> <li>SWL in P38A (shallow aquifer) ) indicated a stable standing water level ranging from 776.18 metres Relative Level (m RL) to 776.23 RL during this reporting period.</li> <li>SWL in P38B (deep) ranged from 770.90 m RL to 771.11 m RL in this reporting period, consistent with previous reporting periods.</li> </ul>
P44 & P45	P44 and P45 were decommissioned at the end of quarter 1 in this reporting period and replaced by P200A and 200B
P200A & P200B	P200 is located east of the void. Standing water levels are presented in <b>Table 8.2</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ).
	SWL in P200A (shallow) showed a range of 754.46 m RL to 757.23 m RL and is stable.
	SWL in P200B (deep) showed a range of 754.15 m RL to 756.95 m RL and is stable.
P58A & P58B	P58 is located west of the void. Standing water levels are presented in <b>Table 8.3</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ).
	SWL in P58A (shallow) showed a range of 763.95 m RL to 764.24 m RL and is stable.
	SWL in P58B (deep) is similar to previous reporting period fluctuating between 751.85 m RL and 753.68 m RL.
P59A & P59B	P59 is located west of the void and to the south of P58. Standing water levels are presented in <b>Table 8.4</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ).
	SWL in P59A (shallow) ranged from 784.86 m RL to 786.88 m RL in this reporting period, consistent with previous reporting period.

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	SWL in P59B (deep) ranged between 784.58 m RL and 786.48 m RL, consistent with previous reporting period.
P100A & P100B	P100 is located northeast of the void. Standing water levels are presented in <b>Table 8.5</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ).
	SWL in P100A (shallow) is consistent with the previous reporting period averaging between 738.10 m RL to 739.46 m RL.
	P100B (deep) averaged between 711.49 m RL and 722.84 m RL which indicates water above the base level of 698.29 m RL which has been recorded in previous periods.
	This increase is likely due to the compaction of landfill waste at higher levels within the void preventing water ingress.



Figure 3.2.6.1 – Piezometer Standing Water Levels – P38 to P200

#### 3.2.7 Bioreactor Evaporation Dam Volume Monitoring Results

The Evaporation Dam 3 (ED3) system comprises extracted (and treated) leachate from the landfill void and captured stormwater. The water volume has to be maintained in all Evaporation Dam 3 (Lagoon systems) below the freeboard level as all times.

Water levels are taken monthly as detailed in Table 3.2.7, which shows that the dam levels



Figure 3.2.7.1 - Evaporation Dam 3 Volume (Megalitres)

Table	3.2.7:	Bioreactor	Evaporation	Dam	Volume	Monitoring	Results
	-					J	

Date	ED3S	ED3S-S	ED3N (Lagoon 4)
Sep-17	790.89	793.47	790.45
Oct-17	790.85	793.6	790.46
Nov-17	790.79	793.52	790.61
Dec-17	790.79	793.49	790.67
Jan-18	790.73	793.31	790.66
Feb-18	790.89	793.25	790.73
Apr-18	790.79	793.11	790.77
Apr-18	790.69	793.01	790.75
May-18	790.67	792.95	790.76
Jun-18	790.69	792.92	790.82
Jul-18	790.69	792.91	790.91
Aug-18	790.69	792.89	790.97
Minimum	790.67	792.89	790.45
Mean	790.76	793.20	790.71
Maximum	790.89	793.6	790.97
Freeboard levels	791.2	793.6	791.3

#### Part 2 EPL 11455 Crisps Creek Intermodal Facility

# 4. IMF Operations

Veolia operates the Crisps Creek Intermodal Facility (IMF) which is comprised of a hardstand located adjacent to the regional rail network (approximately 1 km south of Tarago train station and 8 km from the Bioreactor) to enable transfer of containerised waste received by rail from Sydney onto road trucks and subsequent to the Bioreactor for disposal.

#### 4.1 IMF Licence Conditions

The IMF is operated under EPL 11455 which details the operating conditions and environmental monitoring requirements as noted in **Table 4.1**.

Со	ndition	Compliance with Condition	
1.	Administrative conditions	Noted	
2.	Discharges to air and water and application to land	Noted	
3.	Limit conditions	<b>L1. Pollution of Waters</b> All clean surface and storm water collected at the IMF was diverted to the onsite retention system for storage, as part of the first flush stormwater management system, in this reporting period. Following rainfall events, surface water monitoring was undertaken to assess the water quality prior to discharge.	
		L5. Waste All waste received at the IMF during this reporting period was in accordance with the waste types permitted in the EPL, received via rail from the Clyde and Banksmeadow Transfer Terminal in Sydney. All waste was maintained in sealed containers and transported to the Bioreactor on the same day.	
		<b>L6. Noise Limits</b> No noise complaints were received during this reporting period indicating that noise from operational activities at the IMF was likely maintained within the 35 dB(A) LAeq (15 minute) criteria at the nearest residential receiver. Similarly it can be inferred that noise from freight trains did not exceed 45 dB(A) LAeq (15 minute and 50 dB(A) LAeq (15 minute before and after 7:00 am respectively.	
		Noise monitoring will be undertaken by Veolia on the receipt of any such complaints.	
		<b>L7. Hours of Operation</b> All operational activities at the IMF including haulage of waste to the Bioreactor were undertaken between 6:00 am and 10:00 pm, Monday to Saturday during this reporting period as permitted under the DA.	
		L8. Potentially Offensive Odour	
		No odour complaints were received for the IMF during this reporting period.	
4.	Operating conditions	<b>O1.</b> Activities Carried out in Competent Manner All licenced activities undertaken at the IMF in this reporting period were carried out in a competent manner and under a high standard of environmental management for which Veolia is certified under ISO 14001.	
		O2. Maintenance of Plant and Equipment	
		<b>O2. Maintenance of Plant and Equipment</b> The maintenance and operation of all plant and equipment on the premises	

#### Table 4.1: IMF Licence Conditions

# 4. IMF Operations

Condition	Compliance with Condition
	associated with the licenced activities was undertaken in a proper and
	efficient condition as required by qualified technicians. All major plant and
	equipment at the site is stored in a computerised maintenance management
	system in order to schedule and complete the required maintenance. All
	Veolia operators hold the appropriate qualifications and licenses to operate
	plant and equipment used as part of IMF operations.
	O3. Dust Control
	All operations and activities were carried out at the IMF in a manner to
	minimise dust at the boundary of the premises. These included operating on
	a hardstand site with fully paved access roads to the site. All haulage of
	waste to the Bioreactor occurred within enclosed containers. Monitoring for
	the presence and quantity of depositional dust is undertaken monthly to
	verify the performance.
	O4. Stormwater and Wastewater Management – Operating Phase
	The first flush stormwater management system was operated effectively in
	this reporting period in accordance with the EPL requirements to capture all
	the clean storm and surface water from the paved and sealed areas of the
	IMF. No sewage was removed from the IMF in this reporting period.
	Uncontaminated stormwater is permitted under the EPL to be utilised in
	vegetated areas of the IMF, as required.
	O5. I racking of Mud and Waste
	As all waste container unloading and movements occurred within enclosed
	during this reporting paried. No opening of containers was required to be
	undertaken at the IME during this reporting period
	O6 Waste Transportation
	All containers utilised in the transportation of waste in this reporting period
	were maintained in accordance with the EPI requirements to minimise
	potential odour emissions. All containers had rubber seals to prevent the
	leakage of leachate during transport and handling activities.
	07. Fire Extinguishment
	There were no fires at the IMF during this reporting period.
	O8. Fire Fighting Capability
	All Veolia operators are trained in handling emergency situations, which
	include fire fighting in accordance with site specific Emergency Response
	Plans.
	Fire extinguishers and a 20,000 litre water tank were maintained onsite
	during this reporting period to enable effective fire fighting capabilities. In
	addition, Crisps Creek and Mulwaree River are located adjacent to the IMF
	as approved and readily available water source for fire fighting. The Tarago
	enables fast mobilisation at the site
5 Monitoring and recording	Noted all compliance monitoring was carried out in this reporting period in
conditions	according to EPI requirements the results of which are detailed along with
	any non conformances in Section 5.
6. Reporting conditions	Noted and addressed in this Report and the annual return documents.
	where relevant. Notifications to the EPA were undertaken in a timely
	fashion.
General conditions	Noted.
Pollution studies and reduction	N/A
programs	
Special Conditions	N/A

#### 5.1 IMF Monitoring Points

Veolia is required to monitor environmental performance of the IMF under the site EPL. **Table 5.1** details the EPA ID, Veolia monitoring point identification, frequency and the type of monitoring undertaken at each licensed point. A monitoring location plan is included in **Appendix 3**.

		loonood monitoring	1 01110
EPA ID	Veolia ID	Frequency	Type of Monitoring
1	Site 110 - Upstream	Quarterly	Surface Water
2	Site 150 - Downstream		
3	IMF First Flush		
4	DG18 IMF	Monthly	Dust / Particulates

#### Table 5.1: IMF Licensed Monitoring Points

Veolia also undertakes additional surface water quality monitoring at Site 130 (located upstream of Crisps Creek Intermodal in Mulwaree River) to provide additional background quality information.

#### 5.2 IMF Monitoring Results

#### 5.2.1 IMF Surface Water Monitoring Results

Surface water quality monitoring at 3 monitoring locations was undertaken as required by the EPL, the findings of which are summarised in **Table 5.2.1**. Detailed quality results are provided in **Tables 9.1** to **9.4** (refer **Appendix 4**). The key quality indicators selected to identify any contamination in the receiving surface waters from site operations include:

- pH,
- Electrical Conductivity (EC),
- Sulphate (SO<sub>4</sub>),
- Iron (Fe),
- Zinc (Zn),
- Ammonia (NH<sub>3)</sub>, and
- Total Organic Carbon (TOC).

These are depicted in trend graphs Figures 5.2.1.1 to 5.2.1.4 (refer Appendix 5).

#### Table 5.2.1: IMF Surface Water Monitoring Results

Parameter	Results/Discussion
Site 110 - Upstream	Site 110 is located upstream of the IMF in Crisps Creek. It is approximately 8 km downstream of the Bioreactor.
	Results provided in <b>Table 9.1</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ) indicate the following trends:
	<ul> <li>pH is close to neutral (average 7.50), consistent with previous reporting periods;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>EC (average 881.50 µS/cm) is consistent with the previous period and representative of fresh water salinity;</li> </ul>

### 5. IMF Environmental Monitoring

Paramotor	Posults/Discussion
	<ul> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 113.55 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting periods;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Fe (average 0.28 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting periods, whilst Zinc indicates a fluctuating trend (average 0.13mg/L), consistent with historical cyclic results;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (average 0.1 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting periods and continues to be at non-detection levels.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>TOC (average 13 mg/L) is slightly higher than the previous reporting period and is generally reflective of natural organic matter in streams.</li> </ul>
	While the indicator trends for this location indicate some variability over time, this is not uncommon when sampling intermittent streams.
Site 130 - Upstream	Site 130 is located upstream of the IMF in the Mulwaree River.
	Results provided in <b>Table 9.2</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ) indicate the following trends:
	<ul> <li>pH is close to neutral (average 7.58), slightly lower than the previous reporting period;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>EC (average 401 µS/cm) is consistent with the previous reporting period and representative of fresh water salinity;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 16.30 mg/L) is lower but generally consistent with previous reporting period;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Fe and Zn, average 0.72 mg/L and 0.02 mg/L respectively indicate consistency with fluctuating cycles in previous reporting periods;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>NH<sub>3</sub> (&lt;0.1 mg/L) continued to be un-detectable during this reporting period.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>TOC (average 13.50 mg/L) is consistent with previous reporting periods.</li> </ul>
Site 150 – Mulwaree River	Site 150 is located 2 km downstream of the IMF on the Mulwaree River, which is also downstream of a railway bridge and Braidwood Road.
	Results provided in <b>Table 9.3</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ) indicate the following trends:
	<ul> <li>pH (average 7.64) is slightly alkaline, consistent with the previous reporting period;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>EC (average 680 µS/cm) shows a fluctuating trend and is generally consistent with previous periods and fresh water salinity;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 45.35 mg/L) reflecting EC trend, is generally consistent with previous reporting period;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Fe and Zn, average 0.40 mg/L and 0.08 mg/L respectively indicate consistency with fluctuating cycles in previous reporting periods.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>NH<sub>3 (</sub>&lt; 0.1mg/L) continued to be not detected during this reporting period.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>TOC (average 14 mg/L), is generally consistent with previous reporting periods;</li> </ul>

### IMF Environmental Monitoring

Parameter	Results/Discussion
	These results are consistent with the trends for Site 110.
First Flush Stormwater Outlet	The IMF First Flush is located at the surface water outlet point of the site, prior to runoff into Crisps Creek.
	Results provided in <b>Table 9.4</b> (refer <b>Appendix 4</b> ) indicate the following trends:
	• pH (average 7.33) is close to neutral, consistent with the previous reporting period;
	<ul> <li>EC (average 124.17 µS/cm) shows a slight downward trend but is generally consistent with the previous period and representative of fresh water salinity;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>SO<sub>4</sub> (average 9.93 mg/L) is also slightly lower, reflecting EC trend, but generally consistent with previous reporting period;</li> </ul>
	• Fe and Zn, average 0.83 mg/L and 0.07mg/L are generally consistent with the previous period but reflective of fluctuating cycles.
	<ul> <li>NH<sub>3 (</sub>&lt; 0.1mg/L) continued to be not detected during this reporting period.</li> </ul>
	TOC (average 6 mg/L) which is lower than previous reporting periods.

#### 5.2.2 IMF Air Quality Monitoring Results

Dust monitoring is undertaken monthly at 1 location at the IMF in accordance with the EPL. A summary of this reporting period is provided in **Table 5.2.2.1** and detailed in **Table 10** (refer **Appendix 4**).

The results at DG18 indicate an average level of total insoluble solid matter is 0.75 g/m<sup>2</sup>/month, which is generally consistent with overall historical trends as seen in the subsequent graph, **Figure 5.2.2.1**. The handling of waste and associated operational activities at the IMF are undertaken in a manner to ensure minimal emissions of dust. This includes no opening of containerised waste on unloading and operating on hardstand site.

Dust Gauge	Summary Total Insoluble Solids (g/m2/month)		
	Minimum	Maximum	Average
DG18	0.4	1.4	0.75

## 5. IMF Environmental Monitoring



Figure 5.2.2.1 – IMF Depositional Dust Levels – DG18

### **Bioreactor and IMF Environmental Performance**

- Based on the results of monitoring undertaken at both the Bioreactor and IMF sites in accordance with the respective EPLs, the overall environmental performance of the Woodlawn Eco Project in this reporting period can be demonstrated to be well managed.
- Sampling as per the licence was carried out, noting that due to surface water flows after rainfall not being evident, a physical water sample was not able to be taken.
- There was one non-conformance in this reporting period. This has been documented in the Annual Return which has been submitted to the EPA and are as follows:
  - Missed sampling periods as per condition M1 at certain locations relating to the lack of flow recorded at these points during sampling sessions.
- In this reporting period, Veolia have also implemented the recommendations for environmental and operational improvements identified in the 2016/2017 Report as discussed in Table 6.1.
- Additional improvements proposed for the 2018/2019 reporting period at the Bioreactor and the IMF are as follows in Table 6.2:

ltem No.	Recommendation	2017-18 Action Status
1.	<ul> <li>Implement - Long Term Leachate Treatment Project</li> <li>Construct and operated the new leachate Treatment Plant.</li> <li>Implement an extraction and evaporation plan of the stored liquid within the ED3 Lagoon system</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In progress</li> <li>Leachate Treatment Plant commenced construction December 2017.</li> </ul>
2.	<ul> <li>Ecological Risk Assessment of ED1/ED2</li> <li>Finalise the ecological risk assessment of ED1</li> <li>Implement a lined coffer dam within the boundaries of ED1 to stored treated effluent from the new leachate treatment plant.</li> <li>Implement an evaporation plan for the stored water mine water in ED1 and the treated effluent from the new Leachate Treatment Plant.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Niche Environment and Heritage completed an ecological risk assessment in June 2018</li> <li>Built lined coffer dam in ED1 for Treated effluent from new LTP</li> <li>Management strategy developed by Earth2Water and Veolia to manage and mitigate any potential seepage from ED1. Submitted to EPA on 28 September 2018</li> <li>ED2 is in the care and management of Heron Resources Ltd</li> </ul>
3.	<ul> <li>Odour Management</li> <li>Maintain the use of the bio filtration material within the void</li> <li>Waste profile and gas extraction system process</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>LFG extraction wells extension to the new tipping lift with perforated pipe sections.</li> </ul>
ANNUA	L REPORT	48 November 2012

#### Table 6.1: 2016/2017 Reporting Period Recommendations

#### 6. Bioreactor and IMF Environmental Performance

ltem No.	Recommendation	2017-18 Action Status
	<ul> <li>improvements from the previous reporting year – include impacts (water levels, gas extraction improvements) of the development of the new Leachate Treatment Plant</li> <li>Engage with community regarding operational changes and planned objectives to manage Odour</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Installation of leachate extraction pumps in the areas where LFG extraction is impacted by the leachate.</li> <li>Designing of the new waste tipping profile and quality assurance of the tipping activity to meet the profile design.</li> <li>LFG extraction is monitored by the SCADA system for the flowrate and suction pressure in each gas field main gas manifold.</li> <li>Veolia has refined its investigation of odour issues in the community by active engagement through its existing odour complaints and response management strategy</li> </ul>

### 6. Bioreactor and IMF Environmental Performance

#### Table 6.2: 2018/2019 Reporting Period Recommendations

Item No.	Recommendation
1.	Evaporation Dam Seepage Management Strategy for ED1
	Installation of evaporators in ED1
	Line coffer dam in ED1 with HDPE – Discharge point for the Leachate Treatment Plant
	Groundwater and surface water assessment around ED1
2.	Stormwater management in the void
	Construct a series of buffer/collection ponds in different catchment areas around the void
3.	Odour Management
	Improvement and expansion of well in the void to minimise leachate recirculation and improve gas extraction
4.	Leachate Treatment Plant
	Commission and operation of plant to extract and treat leachate more efficiently
	Store treated effluent from the plant in ED1 coffer dam, this water will be used for process water by Heron Resources
	Conduct an independent audit for the leachate and water management system in line with the Development consent (DA-31-02-99 condition 70T) requirements
5	Ground Water Management
	Further assessment of the west side of the Bioreactor to further improve the groupdwater
	monitoring network as WM4 was decommissioned during the 2016/17 reporting period.

### References

- Earth2Water (2010) EPL Annual Assessment of Woodlawn Bioreactor and Intermodal Facility Monitoring Data, 30 November 2010;
- EPA (2016) Environmental Guidelines: Solid Waste Landfills Second Edition, April 2016;
- **Veolia (2017)** Annual Environmental Monitoring Report Woodlawn Bioreactor and Crisps Creek Intermodal Facility, November 2016;

## Appendices

Note: All documents appended to this Report are provided electronically.

#### Appendices

- Appendix 1
- Appendix 2
- Appendix 3
- Appendix 4
- Appendix 5
- Appendix 6

- Site Location Plan
- **EPL** Boundary
- Monitoring Locations Plan
- Tabulated Monitoring Results
- Monitoring Trend Graphs
  - LTP monthly reports